### Case 2:21-cv-04327 CFVIL DOCHOPERT SHFIRED 10/01/21 Page 1 of 23

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil do	ocket sheet. <i>(SEE INSTRU</i> )	CTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF	F THIS FO	RM.)						
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	,			DEFENDAN	TS					
Ka Yan Danise Mok, Ph.D				Trustees Of The University Of Pennsylvania						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Arlington County, V			<u>'A</u>	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Philadelphia County  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.						
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, 2) Brian C. Farrell, E	Address, and Telephone Numbersq., Console Mattiaco	er) i Law, LLC		Attorneys (If Kno						
1525 Locust St., 9t	th Fl., Philadelphia, P.	A 19102 (215) 545-7	7676							
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)		IZENSHIP OF		CIPA				
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	× 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government	Not a Party)	,	For Diversity Cases On of This State	Only) PTF 1	<b>DEF</b>	Incorporated or Pr of Business In T		Defendant) PTF  4	DEF 4
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizer	of Another State	2	_ 2	Incorporated and I of Business In A		5	5
				or Subject of a eign Country	3	3	Foreign Nation		6	6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Oi	nly)			Cli	ck here	for: Nature of S	Suit Code De	scription	<u></u>
CONTRACT		ORTS	FOI	RFEITURE/PENALT			KRUPTCY		STATUT	
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise  REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting  × 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY  365 Personal Injury - Product Liability  367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability  368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability  PERSONAL PROPERT  370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability  PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	740 7751 7791 7462 7791	LABOR Fair Labor Standards Act Labor/Management Relations Railway Labor Act Family and Medical Leave Act Other Labor Litigation Employee Retirement Income Security Act  IMMIGRATION Naturalization Applica Other Immigration Actions	n t	423 With 28 U  PROPER 820 Cop. 830 Pate 835 Pate New 840 Trad 880 Defe Act  SOCIA 861 HIA 862 Blac 863 DIW 864 SSII 865 RSI  FEDER 870 Taxc or I 871 IRS-	TY RIGHTS  yrights nt nt - Abbreviated Drug Application lemark end Trade Secrets of 2016  L SECURITY(1395ff) kk Lung (923) /C/DIWW (405(g)) D Title XVI	480 Consu (15 Us) 485 Teleph Protec 490 Cable/ 850 Securii Excha 890 Other s 891 Agricu 893 Enviro 895 Freed 896 Arbitra 899 Admin Act/Re	am (31 USC a)) teapportion ust and Bankin terce tation teer Influen of Organizat mer Credit SC 1681 or tonone Consu- tion Act Sat TV ties/Commonge Statutory A ditural Acts normental M om of Inforn ation ation ation tistrative Pr view or AF y Decision tutionality	nment ng need and tions t 1692) nmer nodities/ actions t latters mation
	moved from 3	Remanded from Appellate Court	4 Reinst Reope		nnsferred other Dis		6 Multidistr		Multidis Litigatio	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	ON 42 U.S.C. §2000e, et s Brief description of ca			o not cite jurisdictional				of the same.	Direct F	ile
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A <b>CLASS ACTION</b> 3, F.R.Cv.P.		MAND \$ excess of \$75,000			HECK YES only U <b>RY DEMAND:</b>		n complai	
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE				_DOCKI	ET NUMBER			
DATE 10/01/2021		SIGNATURE OF ATTO	ORNEY OI	FRECORD						
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY										
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDG	iΕ		MAG. JUI	DGE		

## Case 2:21-cv-04327-CNFKED SOCCES ON TRICFIC OUR 0/01/21 Page 2 of 23 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

#### **DESIGNATION FORM**

(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar)

Address of Plaintiff:	Arlington, VA			
Address of Defendant:		06		
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:  Philadelphia, PA 19106				
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:				
Case Number: J	fudge:	Date Terminated:		
Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answered to	any of the following questions:			
Is this case related to property included in an earlier previously terminated action in this court?	r numbered suit pending or within one year	Yes No 🗸		
Does this case involve the same issue of fact or gro pending or within one year previously terminated as		Yes No 🗸		
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action of this court?				
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights  Yes  No  V  V  V  V  V  V  V  V  V  V  V  V  V				
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is this court except as noted above.  DATE: 10/01/2021	Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff	within one year previously terminated action in  319145  Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)		
CIVIL: (Place a √ in one category only)				
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction C	Cases:		
A. Federal Question Cases:  1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):	Other Contracts  1. Insurance Contr 2. Airplane Person 3. Assault, Defama 4. Marine Personal 5. Motor Vehicle F	ract and Other Contracts nal Injury ation 1 Injury Personal Injury Injury (Please specify): ity ity — Asbestos sity Cases		
□       1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All         □       2. FELA         □       3. Jones Act-Personal Injury         □       4. Antitrust         □       5. Patent         □       6. Labor-Management Relations         ☑       7. Civil Rights         □       8. Habeas Corpus         □       9. Securities Act(s) Cases         □       10. Social Security Review Cases         □       11. All other Federal Question Cases         (Please specify):	Other Contracts  1. Insurance Contr 2. Airplane Person 3. Assault, Defama 4. Marine Personal 5. Motor Vehicle F 6. Other Personal I 7. Products Liabili 8. Products Liabili 9. All other Divers (Please specify):  ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION	ract and Other Contracts nal Injury ation I Injury Personal Injury Injury (Please specify): ity Asbestos sity Cases		
□ 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All     □ 2. FELA     □ 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury     □ 4. Antitrust     □ 5. Patent     □ 6. Labor-Management Relations     ☑ 7. Civil Rights     □ 8. Habeas Corpus     □ 9. Securities Act(s) Cases     □ 10. Social Security Review Cases     □ 11. All other Federal Question Cases     (Please specify):    Che effect of the effect of the exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of integration in the exceeding the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of integration in the exceeding the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of integration in the exceeding the excee	Other Contracts  1. Insurance Contr 2. Airplane Person 3. Assault, Defama 4. Marine Personal 5. Motor Vehicle F 6. Other Personal I 7. Products Liabili 8. Products Liabili 9. All other Divers (Please specify):  ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION this certification is to remove the case from eligibility.  sel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify:  hat to the best of my knowledge and belief, the diterest and costs:	ract and Other Contracts nal Injury ation I Injury Personal Injury Injury (Please specify):		
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All   2. FELA   3. Jones Act-Personal Injury   4. Antitrust   5. Patent   6. Labor-Management Relations   7. Civil Rights   8. Habeas Corpus   9. Securities Act(s) Cases   10. Social Security Review Cases   11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):   (The effect of the security of the security Review Cases   12. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):   (The effect of the security Review Cases   13. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):   (The effect of the security Review Cases   14. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):   (The effect of the security Review Cases   14. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):   (The effect of the security Review Cases   15. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):   (The effect of the security Review Cases   15. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):   (The effect of the security Review Cases   15. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):   (The effect of the security Review Cases   15. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):   (The effect of the security Review Cases   15. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):   (The effect of the security Review Cases   15. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):   (The effect of the security Review Cases   15. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):   (The effect of the security Review Cases   15. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):   (The effect of the security Review Cases   15. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):   (The effect of the security Review Cases   15. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):   (The effect of the security Review Cases   15. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):   (The effect of the security Review Cases   15. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):   (The effect of the security Review Cases   15. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):   (The effect of the s	Other Contracts  1. Insurance Contr 2. Airplane Person 3. Assault, Defama 4. Marine Personal 5. Motor Vehicle F 6. Other Personal I 7. Products Liabili 8. Products Liabili 9. All other Divers (Please specify):  ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION this certification is to remove the case from eligibility.  sel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify:  hat to the best of my knowledge and belief, the diterest and costs:	ract and Other Contracts nal Injury ation I Injury Personal Injury Injury (Please specify):		

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

#### CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Геlephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address			
215-545-7676	5-545-7676 215-405-2900 farrell@consolelaw.com				
Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for		_	
10/01/2021		Plaintiff, Ka Yan Danise Mok	, Ph.	D	
(f) Standard Management –	Cases that do not fall into a	any one of the other tracks.	()	X)	
		cial or intense management by	(	)	
(d) Asbestos – Cases involving exposure to asbestos.	ng claims for personal inju	ry or property damage from	(	)	
(c) Arbitration – Cases require	red to be designated for arb	pitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	(	)	
(b) Social Security – Cases re and Human Services deny	equesting review of a decisying plaintiff Social Securi	ion of the Secretary of Health ty Benefits.	(	)	
a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.					
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	LLOWING CASE MANA	AGEMENT TRACKS:			
plaintiff shall complete a Cas filing the complaint and serve side of this form.) In the evolution, that defendant sl	e Management Track Designation of a copy on all defendants. (went that a defendant does hall, with its first appearance ites, a Case Management T	y Reduction Plan of this court, coung gnation Form in all civil cases at the tage of \$1:03 of the plan set forth on the range of the plaintiff regarding, submit to the clerk of court and se track Designation Form specifying the gned.	ime ever g sa rve o	of se id on	
Trustees Of The University C	•				
V.	; ;	NO.			
Ka Yan Danise Mok, Ph.D.	Yan Danise Mok, Ph.D. : CIVIL ACTION				

(Civ. 660) 10/02

### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

KA YAN DANISE MOK, Ph.D.

:

Plaintiff,

:

v. : CIVIL ACTION NO.

:

:

TRUSTEES OF THE UNIVERSITY

OF PENNSYLVANIA,

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

:

Defendant.

:

#### **COMPLAINT**

#### I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

Plaintiff, Ka Yan Danise Mok, Ph.D. ("Plaintiff" or "Dr. Mok"), through her undersigned counsel, files this Civil Action Complaint against her former employer, Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania ("Defendant" or "Penn"). Dr. Mok asserts claims of discrimination based on sexual orientation, gender identity, religious beliefs, and retaliation against Penn under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §2000e, *et seq.* ("Title VII"), the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, as amended, 43 P.S. §951, *et seq.* ("PHRA"), and the Philadelphia Fair Practices Ordinance, as amended, Phila. Code §9-1100, *et seq.* ("PFPO").

Dr. Mok seeks all available damages, including economic loss, compensatory damages for emotional distress, and punitive damages, along with all other relief under applicable federal and state law as this Court deems appropriate.

#### II. PARTIES

1. Dr. Mok is an individual and resident of the United States. Dr. Mok currently lives

in Arlington, Virginia.

- 2. The Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania, operating as the University of Pennsylvania, is a private educational institution comprised of twelve schools, including Arts & Sciences, among others.
  - 3. Penn is located in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
- 4. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Penn was an employer under Title VII, the PHRA, and the PFPO.
- 5. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Dr. Mok was an employee of Penn under Title VII, the PHRA, and the PFPO.
- 6. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Penn acted by and through its authorized agents, workers, and/or employees acting within the course and scope of their employment with Penn.

#### III. <u>JURISDICTION AND VENUE</u>

- 7. The causes of action set forth in this Complaint arise under the Title VII (Count I-II); the PHRA (Counts III-IV); and the PFPO (Counts V-VI).
- 8. The District Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Counts I-II (Title VII) under 28 U.S.C. §1331.
- 9. The District Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Counts III-IV (PHRA) and Counts V-VI (PFPO) under 28 U.S.C. §1367.
- 10. Penn is subject to the personal jurisdiction of this Court because, among other things, the case arises out of or relates to Penn's contacts with Pennsylvania, and the contacts of Penn are continuous and systematic such that Penn is considered at home in Pennsylvania.
  - 11. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).

- 12. On or about June 1, 2020, Dr. Mok dual filed a Charge of Discrimination with the Philadelphia Commission on Human Relations ("PCHR") and the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC"), complaining of the acts of discrimination and retaliation alleged herein. Attached, incorporated herein, and marked as Exhibit "A" is a true and correct copy of Dr. Mok's PCHR Charge of Discrimination, with minor redactions for purposes of electronic filing of confidential and personal identifying information.
- 13. On or about July 7, 2021, the EEOC issued to Dr. Mok a Dismissal and Notice of Right to Sue. Attached and marked as Exhibit "B" is a true and correct copy of that Notice, with minor redactions for purposes of electronic filing of confidential and personal identifying information.
- 14. The PCHR issued its Dismissal and Notice of Rights to Dr. Mok on September 24, 2021.
- 15. Dr. Mok has complied with all administrative requirements for the institution of this civil action.

#### IV. <u>FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS</u>

- 16. Dr. Mok is a licensed psychologist who specializes in providing mental health treatment to members of the LGBTQIA+ community.
- 17. Dr. Mok obtained her Ph.D. in Psychology from Brigham Young University ("BYU") in 2019.
- 18. Dr. Mok identifies as genderqueer/ gender nonconforming and queer. "Genderqueer" and "gender nonconforming" are terms to describe gender identity. They entail defining one's identity in way that does not align with heterosexual or homosexual norms. Genderqueer individuals such as Dr. Mok often experience their gender as fluid, meaning it can shift or change at any given time. "Queer" is a term to denote one's sexual orientation and sexual

identity. Queer individuals typically do not identify as straight or cis-gender.

- 19. By way of further background, Dr. Mok was raised in the Mormon faith. Before her employment with Penn, Dr. Mok began a faith transition to agnosticism. When she started working for Penn, Dr. Mok furthered her faith transition and openly identified as agnostic. Dr. Mok also incorporated her faith transition into her clinical psychology work.
- 20. On or about August 1, 2018, Dr. Mok accepted a position as a pre-doctoral intern with Penn in its Counseling and Psychological Services ("CAPS") program. At that time, Dr. Mok was still working to complete her Ph.D. with BYU.
- 21. As pre-doctoral intern in Penn's CAPS program, Dr. Mok worked as part of a multidisciplinary clinical team to provide patients with intake assessment, telephone consultations, walk-in crisis prevention, individual, and group therapy, along with overall case management. Dr. Mok also worked with patients on psychological issues related to identity development, faith transition, and social justice and advocacy.
- 22. While in the CAPS program, Dr. Mok reported to Soumya Madabhushi, Ph.D ("Dr. Madabhushi"), a Staff Psychologist and the Outreach Training Coordinator for CAPS.
- 23. While in the CAPS program, Dr. Mok disclosed her gender identity, sexual orientation, and religious identity to her supervisors and co-workers, including Dr. Madabushi. Furthermore, Dr. Mok actively consulted Dr. Madabhushi about her professional decision to disclose her identities in connection with her clinical work.
- 24. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Penn was aware of Dr. Mok's gender identity (genderqueer/ gender nonconforming), sexual orientation (queer), and religious identity (agnostic).
  - 25. As a result of her exemplary performance in the CAPS program, Penn offered Dr.

Mok employment as a postdoctoral fellowship position following her completion of her Ph.D.

- 26. Dr. Mok accepted Penn's employment offer and began working for Penn as a postdoctoral fellow on or about August 23, 2019.
- 27. In this role, Dr. Mok reported to Dr. Madabhushi and Cyndy Boyd, Ph.D. ("Dr. Boyd"), Psychologist and Director of Training.
- 28. A few months after starting the fellowship, on or about October 16, 2019, Dr. Mok applied for a full-time position as a Staff Psychologist in Penn's Counseling and Psychological Services department.
- 29. On or about December 9, 2019, Michal Saraf, PsyD ("Dr. Saraf"), Senior Clinical Director in Penn's Counseling and Psychological Services department, requested to meet with Dr. Mok about her job application.
- 30. During their meeting, which occurred on or about December 10, 2019, Dr. Saraf rejected Dr. Mok's application for the Staff Psychologist position and informed her that Penn would not even permit Dr. Mok to interview.
- 31. Dr. Saraf's basis for rejecting Dr. Mok was that Penn's "selection committee" for the Staff Psychologist position had "reservations regarding [Dr. Mok's] identities." Dr. Saraf elaborated that "some" of Dr. Mok's identities were "newer" and had "only started once [Dr. Mok] came to Penn."
- 32. Dr. Saraf also told Dr. Mok that Penn's decision to reject her job application was not based on Dr. Mok's work performance.
- 33. Dr. Saraf's comments to Dr. Mok during their December 10, 2019 meeting represent an admission by Penn that it rejected Dr. Mok's application for discriminatory reasons.
  - 34. Later that week, Dr. Mok registered a complaint of discrimination with her

supervisors, Drs. Madabhushi and Boyd, based on Dr. Saraf's comments and reasons for rejecting her application.

- 35. Upon information and belief, Dr. Boyd and Dr. Madabhushi failed to investigate Dr. Mok's complaint of discrimination in good faith.
- 36. Dr. Mok filed her charge of discrimination with the PCHR and EEOC on or about June 1, 2020.
- 37. Following Penn's receipt of Dr. Mok's charge, Penn subjected Dr. Mok to retaliation for asserting her rights under Title VII, the PHRA, and the PFPO.
- 38. During a meeting in late August 2020, Dr. Boyd accosted Dr. Mok about her charge of discrimination, stating:
  - "I feel betrayed by you.";
  - "What are you expecting? To be hired?"
  - "What did you want me to do? I had no power."
  - That she and Dr. Madabhushi might "lose their jobs" because of Dr. Mok's charge of discrimination;
  - That Dr. Mok was "making a lot of assumptions" in her charge of discrimination, thereby implying that Dr. Mok had fabricated the relevant facts.
- 39. Dr. Boyd's comments were retaliatory and would cause reasonable people who have had their civil rights violated, like Dr. Mok, to be deterred from engaging in protected activity under Title VII, the PHRA, and the PFPO.
- 40. In or about September 2020, Dr. Mok's term as a post-doctoral fellow with Penn ended. Dr. Mok subsequently accepted a Staff Psychologist position at American University, where she remains currently employed.

41. Dr. Mok's gender identity (genderqueer/ gender nonconforming) was a motivating

and/or determinative factor in connection with Penn's discriminatory treatment of her, including

failing to hire into/rejecting her application for the Staff Psychologist position.

42. Dr. Mok's sexual orientation (queer) was a motivating and/or determinative factor

in connection with Penn's discriminatory treatment of her, including failing to hire her into/

rejecting her application for the Staff Psychologist position.

43. Dr. Mok's religious identity (Agnostic) was a motivating and/or determinative

factor in connection with Penn's discriminatory treatment of her, including failing to hire her/ into

rejecting her application for the Staff Psychologist position.

44. As a direct and proximate result of Penn's discriminatory and retaliatory conduct,

Dr. Mok has in the past incurred, and may in the future incur, a loss of earnings and/or earning

capacity, loss of benefits, pain and suffering, embarrassment, humiliation, loss of self-esteem,

mental anguish, and loss of life's pleasures, the full extent of which is not known at this time.

45. Penn acted with malice, reckless indifference, and/or deliberate indifference to Dr.

Mok's protected rights.

**COUNT I – Title VII** 

(Disparate Treatment)

Plaintiff v. Defendant

46. Dr. Mok incorporates the foregoing allegations of this Complaint as if set forth

herein in their entirety.

47. Dr. Mok's gender identity (genderqueer/ gender nonconforming) was a motivating

and/or determinative factor in connection with Penn's discriminatory treatment of her, including

failing to hire her into/rejecting her application for the Staff Psychologist position.

48. Dr. Mok's sexual orientation (queer) was a motivating and/or determinative factor

7

in connection with Penn's discriminatory treatment of her, including failing to hire her into/rejecting her application for the Staff Psychologist position.

- 49. Dr. Mok's religious identity (Agnostic) was a motivating and/or determinative factor in connection with Penn's discriminatory treatment of her, including failing to hire her into/rejecting her application for the Staff Psychologist position.
- 50. By committing the foregoing acts of discrimination against Dr. Mok, Penn has violated Title VII.
- 51. As a direct and proximate result of Penn's discriminatory conduct, Dr. Mok has in the past incurred, and may in the future incur, a loss of earnings and/or earning capacity, loss of benefits, pain and suffering, embarrassment, humiliation, loss of self-esteem, mental anguish, and loss of life's pleasures, the full extent of which is not known at this time.
- 52. Penn acted intentionally, and with malice and/or reckless indifference to Dr. Mok's rights, and its conduct warrants the imposition of punitive damages.

## COUNT II – Title VII (Retaliation) Plaintiff v. Defendant

- 53. Dr. Mok incorporates the foregoing allegations of this Complaint as if set forth herein in their entirety.
  - 54. Penn retaliated against Dr. Mok because of Dr. Mok's complaints of discrimination.
- 55. Penn's actions would cause reasonable people who have had their civil rights violated, like Dr. Mok, to be deterred engaging in protected activity under Title VII
- 56. By committing the foregoing acts of retaliation against Dr. Mok, Penn has violated Title VII.
  - 57. As a direct and proximate result of Penn's violation of the Title VII, Dr. Mok has

suffered the damages and losses set forth herein and has incurred attorneys' fees and costs. Dr. Mok is now suffering and will continue to suffer irreparable injury and monetary damages as a result of Penn's retaliatory acts unless and until this Court grants the relief requested herein.

58. Penn acted intentionally and with malice and/or reckless indifference to Dr. Mok's rights, and its conduct warrants the imposition of punitive damages.

#### COUNT III – PHRA (Disparate Treatment) Plaintiff v. Defendant

- 59. Dr. Mok incorporates the foregoing allegations of this Complaint as if set forth herein in their entirety.
- 60. Dr. Mok's gender identity (genderqueer/ gender nonconforming) was a motivating and/or determinative factor in connection with Penn's discriminatory treatment of her, including failing to hire her into/ rejecting her application for the Staff Psychologist position.
- 61. Dr. Mok's sexual orientation (queer) was a motivating and/or determinative factor in connection with Penn's discriminatory treatment of her, including failing to hire her into/rejecting her application for the Staff Psychologist position.
- 62. Dr. Mok's religious identity (Agnostic) was a motivating and/or determinative factor in connection with Penn's discriminatory treatment of her, including failing to hire her into/rejecting her application for the Staff Psychologist position.
- 63. By committing the foregoing acts of discrimination against Dr. Mok, Penn has violated the PHRA.
- 64. As a direct and proximate result of Penn's discriminatory conduct, Dr. Mok has in the past incurred, and may in the future incur, a loss of earnings and/or earning capacity, loss of benefits, pain and suffering, embarrassment, humiliation, loss of self-esteem, mental anguish, and

9

loss of life's pleasures, the full extent of which is not known at this time.

COUNT IV - PHRA

(Retaliation)

Plaintiff v. Defendant

65. Dr. Mok incorporates the foregoing allegations of this Complaint as if set forth

herein in their entirety.

66. Penn retaliated against Dr. Mok because of Dr. Mok's complaints of discrimination.

67. Penn's actions would cause reasonable people who have had their civil rights

violated, like Dr. Mok, to be deterred engaging in protected activity under the PHRA.

68. By committing the foregoing acts of retaliation against Dr. Mok, Penn has violated

the PHRA.

69. As a direct and proximate result of Penn's violation of the PHRA, Dr. Mok has

suffered the damages and losses set forth herein and has incurred attorneys' fees and costs. Dr.

Mok is now suffering and will continue to suffer irreparable injury and monetary damages as a

result of Penn's retaliatory acts unless and until this Court grants the relief requested herein.

COUNT V – PFPO

(Disparate Treatment)

Plaintiff v. Defendant

70. Dr. Mok incorporates the foregoing allegations of this Complaint as if set forth

herein in their entirety.

71. Dr. Mok's gender identity (genderqueer/ gender nonconforming) was a motivating

and/or determinative factor in connection with Penn's discriminatory treatment of her, including

failing to hire her into/rejecting her application for the Staff Psychologist position.

72. Dr. Mok's sexual orientation (queer) was a motivating and/or determinative factor

in connection with Penn's discriminatory treatment of her, including failing to hire her into/

10

rejecting her application for the Staff Psychologist position.

- 73. Dr. Mok's religious identity (Agnostic) was a motivating and/or determinative factor in connection with Penn's discriminatory treatment of her, including failing to hire her into/rejecting her application for the Staff Psychologist position.
- 74. By committing the foregoing acts of discrimination against Dr. Mok, Penn has violated the PFPO.
- 75. As a direct and proximate result of Penn's discriminatory conduct, Dr. Mok has in the past incurred, and may in the future incur, a loss of earnings and/or earning capacity, loss of benefits, pain and suffering, embarrassment, humiliation, loss of self-esteem, mental anguish, and loss of life's pleasures, the full extent of which is not known at this time.
- 76. Penn acted intentionally and with malice and/or reckless indifference to Dr. Mok's rights, and its conduct warrants the imposition of punitive damages.

#### COUNT VI – PFPO (Retaliation) Plaintiff v. Defendant

- 77. Dr. Mok incorporates the foregoing allegations of this Complaint as if set forth herein in their entirety.
  - 78. Penn retaliated against Dr. Mok because of Dr. Mok's complaints of discrimination.
- 79. Penn's actions would cause reasonable people who have had their civil rights violated, like Dr. Mok, to be deterred engaging in protected activity under the PFPO.
- 80. By committing the foregoing acts of retaliation against Dr. Mok, Penn has violated the PFPO.
- 81. As a direct and proximate result of Penn's violation of the PFPO, Dr. Mok has suffered the damages and losses set forth herein and has incurred attorneys' fees and costs. Dr.

Mok is now suffering and will continue to suffer irreparable injury and monetary damages as a result of Penn's retaliatory acts unless and until this Court grants the relief requested herein.

82. Penn acted intentionally and with malice and/or reckless indifference to Dr. Mok's rights, and its conduct warrants the imposition of punitive damages.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff, Ka Yan Danise Mok, Ph.D., respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment in her favor and against Defendant, Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania, by

- A. Declaring the acts and practices complained of herein to be a violation of the Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964;
- B. Declaring the acts and practices complained of herein to be a violation of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act;
- C. Declaring the acts and practices complained of herein to be a violation of the Philadelphia Fair Practices Ordinance;
- D. Awarding to Dr. Mok compensation for all past and future pecuniary losses resulting from Defendant's illegal actions, including, but not limited to, lost earnings, lost earnings growth potential, all compensation and benefits lost due to the actions of Defendant, all out-of-pocket losses, as well as an award of front pay;
- E. Awarding to Dr. Mok compensatory damages for all past and future non-pecuniary damages resulting from Defendant's illegal actions, including, without limitation, emotional distress, mental anguish, humiliation, and reputational harm;
- F. Awarding to Dr. Mok punitive damages for Defendant's malicious and reckless conduct as described herein;
- G. Awarding to Dr. Mok all costs of this action, together with reasonable attorneys' fees;

- H. Awarding to Dr. Mok prejudgment interest;
- I. Awarding to Dr. Mok the sum necessary to make up for any adverse tax consequences incurred by her as result of any judgment entered in this matter; and
- J. Awarding to Dr. Mok such additional relief as the Court deems just and proper under the circumstances.

#### **JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

Dr. Mok requests a jury trial on each of the Counts in this Complaint.

#### CONSOLE MATTIACCI LAW, LLC

By: /s/ Brian C. Farrell
Brian C. Farrell, Esquire
Console Mattiacci Law, LLC
1525 Locust Street, Ninth Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19102
(215) 545-7676
(215) 565-2851 (fax)
farrell@consolelaw.com
Attorney for Plaintiff, Ka Yan Danise Mok

Date: October 1, 2021

# Exhibit "A"

#### CITY OF PHILADELPHIA

#### **COMMISSION ON HUMAN RELATIONS**

#### **COMPLAINT**

KA YAN DANISE MOK,

Complainant,

:

:

vs.

DOCKET NO. <u>2020-06-</u>03-3616

EEOC Docket#: 176-2021-00039C

TRUSTEES OF UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA,

Respondent.

1. The Complainant herein is:

NAME

: Ka Yan Danise Mok

ADDRESS

2. The Respondent herein is:

NAME

Trustees of University of Pennsylvania

ADDRESS

3451 Walnut Street, Room 310

Philadelphia, PA 19104

3. The Complainant alleges that prior to and continuing until on or about December 10, 2019, the Respondent, through its agents, servants and employees, subjected the Complainant to discrimination based on her gender identity (Genderqueer/Gender Nonconforming), sexual orientation (Queer), and religious identity (Agnostic). The Complainant further alleges that the Respondent subjected her sex discrimination through

sex stereotyping, ultimately resulting in a failure to hire. The facts in support of these allegations are as follows:

- (a) The Complainant, a thirty-two (32) year old individual, has been employed by the Respondent beginning on or about August 1, 2018 through the present.
- (b) Upon commencing employment with Respondent, Complainant held the position Predoctoral Intern. As a result of her exemplary work performance, Respondent offered Complainant a Postdoctoral Fellowship position beginning on or about August 23, 2019. At all times relevant hereto, Complainant has maintained an excellent job performance rating in said capacities.
- (c) By way of background, Complainant has a Ph.D. in Psychology. In her capacity as a Postdoctoral Fellow, Complainant is part of Respondent's Counseling and Psychological Services ("CAPS") program. In said program, Complainant specializes in multicultural counseling, gender, LGBTQIA+, identity development, trauma, working with first generation/immigrant/international students, mindfulness, faith transition, and social justice and advocacy.
- (d) By way of further background, Complainant was raised in the Mormon faith. Prior to her predoctoral internship, Complainant began the process of a faith transition. In connection thereto, during her employment with the Respondent, Complainant furthered her faith transition and openly identified as Agnostic. Importantly, Complainant incorporated her faith transition into her clinical psychology work.
- (e) By way of further background, Complainant identifies as Genderqueer/Gender Nonconforming and Queer. During her predoctoral internship, Complainant disclosed her identities and shared her coming out experience in professional training settings. Moreover, Complainant is a co-leader of the University's GENESIS group, a supportive, process-oriented group for undergraduate students and graduate students exploring gender identity and gender issues. Complainant actively consulted with her supervisor, Dr. Soumya Madabhushi ("Dr. Madabhushi"), Clinical Supervisor, about her professional decision to disclose her identities in connection with her clinical work.

- (f) At all times material herein, Respondent was aware of Complainant's gender identity (Genderqueer/Gender Nonconforming), sexual orientation (Queer), and religious identity (Agnostic).
- (g) On or about October 16, 2019, Complainant applied for a full-time position as a Staff Psychologist in Respondent's Counseling and Psychological Services department.
- (h) On or about December 9, 2019, Dr. Michal Saraf ("Dr. Saraf"), Senior Clinical Director, requested to meet with Complainant.
- (i) In connection thereto, on or about December 10, 2019, Complainant met with Dr. Saraf. During said meeting, Dr. Saraf rejected Complainant's application for the Staff Psychologist position and informed her that Respondent would not permit Complainant to interview for the said position. In a blatant act of discrimination, Dr. Saraf stated that the selection committee had "reservations regarding [Complainant's] identities." Dr. Saraf elaborated that "some" of Complainant's identities were "newer" and had "only started once [Complainant] came to Penn," further evidencing Dr. Saraf's discriminatory bias towards Complainant.
- (j) During the said meeting, Dr. Saraf explained that Respondent's decision was not based on her work performance and assured Complainant that she had done nothing wrong.
- (k) That same week, Complainant registered a complaint of discrimination with her supervisors, Dr. Madabhushi and Dr. Cyndy Boyd ("Dr. Boyd"), Director of Training. Upon information and belief, Dr. Boyd and Dr. Madabhushi failed and refused to investigate or take any remedial measures.
- (l) The Complainant believes and avers that the Respondent failed to hire her based on her gender identity (Genderqueer/Gender Nonconforming) and/or her failure to conform to traditional sex stereotypes and/or her sexual orientation (Queer) and/or her religious identity (Agnostic).

WHEREFORE, the Complainant believes and avers that the actions of the Respondent were discriminatory in nature, in violation the Philadelphia Fair Practices Ordinance, the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act ("PHRA"), and Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and 1991, as amended.

#### Case 2:21-cv-04327-CFK Document 1 Filed 10/01/21 Page 21 of 23

I hereby verify that the statements contained in this Complaint are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief. I understand that false statements herein are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. §4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities. I wish to dual file this Complaint with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission.

1/Jun 12020

DATE

KA YAN DANISE MOK

# Exhibit "B"

EEOC Form 161-B (11/2020)

#### U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

### Notice of Right to Sue (Issued on Request)

То: _Ка	Yan Danise Mok		From:	Philadelphia District O 801 Market Street Suite 1000 Philadelphia, PA 19107		
	On behalf of person(s) aggrieved who CONFIDENTIAL (29 CFR §1601.7(a))					
EEOC Ch	narge No.	EEOC Representative		_	Telephone No.	
17G-20	21-00039	Damon A. Johnson, State, Local & Tribal Prog	jram M	anager	(267) 589-9722	
NOTICE TO	THE PERSON AGGRIEVED:	(S	ee also	the additional information	enclosed with this form.)	
Act (GIN) been issu of your r	of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the And): This is your Notice of Right to Sue, ed at your request. Your lawsuit under eccipt of this notice; or your right to sumay be different.)	issued under Title VII, the ADA Title VII, the ADA or GINA <b>mu</b> s	or GINA <b>st be fil</b> e	based on the above-numed in a federal or state c	nbered charge. It has ourt WITHIN 90 DAYS	
Х	More than 180 days have passed	since the filing of this charge.				
	Less than 180 days have passed since the filing of this charge, but I have determined that it is unlikely that the EEOC will be able to complete its administrative processing within 180 days from the filing of this charge.					
X	X The EEOC is terminating its processing of this charge.					
	The EEOC will continue to process	s this charge.				
Age Disc 90 days a your case	rimination in Employment Act (ADEA fter you receive notice that we have cole:  The EEOC is closing your case. T 90 DAYS of your receipt of this I	mpleted action on the charge. If the charge is the charge is the charge in the charge.	n this re	gard, the paragraph man	rked below applies to or state court WITHIN	
	The EEOC is continuing its handlir you may file suit in federal or state	ng of your ADEA case. Howeve	er, if 60 c		-	
n federal	y Act (EPA): You already have the righ or state court within 2 years (3 years for tions that occurred more than 2 year	willful violations) of the alleged E	EPA und	erpayment. This means t	PA suits must be brought hat backpay due for	
f you file :	suit, based on this charge, please send a	a copy of your court complaint to	this offi	ce.		
		On behalf of t	he Com	mission		
		Dana R.M.	1/2	Ju	ly 7, 2021	
Enclosur	es(s)	Dana R. Hut Deputy Dire			(Date Issued)	
cc:	For Respondent: Helen Logan Sr. Admin of Legal Services University of Pennsylvania	1	Brian C. CONSO	rging Party: Farrell, Esq. LE MATTIACCI LAW il: farrell@consolelaw.c	om	

Via email: helen.logan@ogc.upenn.edu